1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 10 11 KELLY GUERRERO, Case No.: 2:16-cv-01667-GMN-NJK 12 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 13 v. [Docket No. 127] 14 VINCENT NEIL WHARTON, 15 Defendant(s). 16 Pending before the Court is a motion to compel the law firm of Howard & Howard to produce Defendant's case file to his new counsel. Docket No. 127. James Kohl at Howard & Howard filed a response in opposition. Docket No. 134. That response also includes a request to 19 amend the fees judgment. See id. at 4. No reply was filed. 20 With respect the request to amend the fees judgment, such request was included within the responsive brief in violation of Local Rule IC 2-2(b). To the extent relief is sought in that form, a separate motion must be filed on the docket. The Court herein otherwise expresses no opinion on 23

the time is ripe for that production because there has already been an adjudication of the lien.

With respect to the requested production of the file to new counsel, Defendant argues that

that issue.

28 follows:

24

A lien pursuant to . . . Paragraph (b) of subsection 1 attaches to any file or other property properly left in the possession of the attorney by his or her client, including, without limitation, copies of the attorney's file if the original documents received from the client have been returned to the client, and authorizes the attorney to retain any such file or property $\underline{\text{until such time as an adjudication is made pursuant to subsection 6}}$"

N.R.S. 18.015(4) (emphasis added); *see also* Mot. at 8. The response to the motion does not address this statutory language.

Accordingly, Mr. Kohl shall file a supplement explaining why this statutory provision does not render it proper to require production of the file in light of entry of the fees judgment.¹ That supplement must be filed by August 15, 2019, and will be limited to no more than five pages in length.

The Clerk's Office is **INSTRUCTED** to provide notice of this order to Mr. Kohl both through to his email address (jak@h2law.com) and by mailing it to his physical address as stated on the docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 7, 2019

Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The statute allows for collection through any authorized method. See N.R.S. 18.105(7).